



Corres. and Mail  
**BOX AF**

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
GROUP 2681  
PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**RECEIVED**

JAN 11 2002

Technology Center 2600

In re application of

Noriyoshi SONETAKA

Appln. No.: 09/298,910

Confirmation No.: Not Yet Assigned

Group Art Unit: 2681

Filed: April 26, 1999

Examiner: Lewis G. West

For: RADIO ACCESS SYSTEM WITH MEANS FOR DECIDING WHETHER FINAL  
☐ DIGIT OF TELEPHONE NUMBER HAS BEEN PUSHED OR NOT

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

ATTN: BOX AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 10, 2001, Applicant states the following.

The Office Action was incomplete because Berry et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,953,676) (hereinafter "Berry") attached thereto and relied upon by the Examiner in rejecting all of the claims was missing pages cited by the Examiner in the rejections. Applicant telephoned the Examiner regarding this deficiency in the Office Action on November 5, 2001 and spoke to the Examiner regarding this matter on November 6, 2001, when the Examiner returned the Applicant's phone call. During the conversation on November 2, 2001, Applicant requested that the period for response be reset according to M.P.E.P. § 710.06.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/298,910

The Examiner telephoned Applicant on November 7, 2001 and stated that Applicant's request for a reset in the period for response would be granted, and that the period for response would be reset to some future date when the Patent Office formally mails a complete copy of Berry.

On January 2, 2002, Examiner informed Applicant that the Patent Office had not yet mailed a complete copy of Berry, that this would still be done at some future date, and that the period for response would be reset based on that future mailing date.

Applicant currently awaits receipt of the above described Patent Office mailing to remedy the deficiency in the Office Action dated October 10, 2001.

If the Examiner believes that any of Applicants statements are incorrect, Applicant requests that the Examiner inform the Applicant in writing.

Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark R. Woodall  
Registration No. 43,286

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860  
Date: January 10, 2002